Effective June 4, 1996, the certificate was renewed through April 30, 1998, upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements ("active"). The certificate was renewed for the period May 1, 1998, through April 30, 2000, with continuing education ("active"). The certificate was expired and was not valid during the period May 1, 2000, through January 29, 2001, for the following reasons:

- a. the renewal fee required by California Business and Professions Code Section 5070.5 was not paid; and
- b. the declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements was not submitted.

Effective January 30, 2001, the certificate was renewed through April 30, 2002, upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements ("active"). The certificate was expired and was not valid during the period May 1, 2002, through September 25, 2002, for the following reasons:

- a. the renewal fee required by California Business and Professions Code Section 5070.5 was not paid; and
- b. the declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements was not submitted.

Effective September 26, 2002, the certificate was renewed through April 30, 2004, upon receipt of renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements ("active"). The Certificate expired on May 1, 2004, and is currently in a "delinquent" status.

3. On or about February 18, 2005, Maria Elena Hernandez, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. AC-2005-9, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 5737 Kanan Road #183, Agoura Hills, CA 91301. A copy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference.

4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

- 5. On or about February 28, 2005, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Attempted, Not Known." A copy of the postal returned documents are attached hereto as Exhibit B incorporated herein by reference.
  - 6. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:
- "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the license on any such ground."
  - 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. AC-2005-9.
  - 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it contained in

1	Exhibit A, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. AC-2005-9 are true.						
2	The total costs for investigation and enforcement are \$6,050.76 as of April						
3	20, 2005.						
4	<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>						
5	Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Lynn R. Richard has						
6	subjected her Certified Public Accountant License No. 68929 to discipline.						
7	2. A copy of the Accusation is attached.						
8	3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.						
9	4. The California Board of Accountancy is authorized to revoke Respondent's						
10	Certified Public Accountant License based upon the following violations alleged in the						
11	Accusation:						
12	a. Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (c),						
13	obtained money under false pretenses.						
14	b. Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (j),						
15	knowingly prepared and disseminated a materially misleading audit report.						
16	c. Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (k),						
17	embezzled and misappropriated funds by fraudulent means.						
18	d. Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (g),						
19	willfully violated audit documentation requirements.						
20	111						
21	111						
22	///						
23							
24							
25	///						
26	///						
27	///						
28	1///						

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant License No. 68929, heretofore issued to Respondent Lynn R. Richard, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on

6	within seven (7	within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion						
7	may vacate the	Decision and grant a	hearing or	n a showing	g of good ca	use, as defir	ed by s	tatute.
8								
9		This Decision shall be	ecome effe	ective on _	June 24,	2005		_·
10		It is so ORDERED	May 2	25, 2005				
11			,,,		MS			
12			FOR TH	Carlo	ORNIA BOA	PD OF AC	COLIN	TANCV
13					F CONSUM			TANCI
14								
15					*			
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23	Attachments: Exhibit A:	Accusation No.AC-2	2005-9					
24	Exhibit B:	Postal return docume						
25								
26	50034082.wpd							
27								

Exhibit A
Accusation No. AC-2005-9

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California					
2	JAMI L. CANTORE, State Bar No. 165410  Deputy Attorney General					
3	California Department of Justice					
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013					
	Telephone: (213) 897-2569					
5	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804					
6	Attorneys for Complainant					
7	BEFORE THE					
8 9	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
10						
1,1	LYNN R. RICHARD 5737 Kanan Road #183 ACCUSATION					
12	Agoura Hills, CA 91301					
13	Certified Public Accountant License No. 68929					
14	Respondent.					
15						
16	Complainant alleges:					
17	<u>PARTIES</u>					
18	<ol> <li>Carol Sigmann (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official</li> </ol>					
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of					
20	Consumer Affairs.					
21	2. On or about May 12, 1995, the California Board of Accountancy issued					
22	Certified No. 68929 (Certified Public Accountant) to Lynn R. Richard (Respondent).					
23	3. Certificate No. 68929 was expired and was not valid from May 1, 1996,					
24	through June 3, 1996, for the following reasons:					
25	a) the renewal fee required by California Business and Professions Code Section					
26	5070.5 was not paid; and					
27	b) the declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements was not					
28	submitted.					

#### 11. Section 5100 states:

"After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

"(c) Dishonesty, fraud, gross negligence, or repeated negligent acts committed in the same or different engagements, for the same or different clients, or any combination of engagements or clients, each resulting in a violation of applicable professional standards that indicate a lack of competency in the practice of public accountancy or in the performance of the bookkeeping operations described in Section 5052.

"(g) Willful violation of this chapter or any rule or regulation promulgated by the board under the authority granted under this chapter.

"(j) Knowing preparation, publication, or dissemination of false, fraudulent, or materially misleading financial statements, reports, or information.

"(k) Embezzlement, theft, misappropriation of funds or property, or obtaining money, property, or other valuable consideration by fraudulent means or false pretenses."

12. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

### 13. Section 5097 states:

"(a) Audit documentation shall be a licensee's records of the procedures applied, the tests performed, the information obtained, and the pertinent conclusions reached in an audit engagement. Audit documentation shall include, but is not limited to, programs, analyses,

28 ///

memoranda, letters of confirmation and representation, copies or abstracts of company documents, and schedules or commentaries prepared or obtained by the licensee.

"(b) Audit documentation shall contain sufficient documentation to enable a reviewer with relevant knowledge and experience, having no previous connection with the audit engagement, to understand the nature, timing, extent, and results of the auditing or other procedures performed, evidence obtained, and conclusions reached, and to determine the identity of the persons who performed and reviewed the work.

"(c) Failure of the audit documentation to document the procedures applied, tests performed, evidence obtained, and relevant conclusions reached in an engagement shall raise a presumption that the procedures were not applied, tests were not performed, information was not obtained, and relevant conclusions were not reached. This presumption shall be a rebuttable presumption affecting the burden of proof relative to those portions of the audit that are not documented as required in subdivision (b). The burden may be met by a preponderance of the evidence.

- "(d) Audit documentation shall be maintained by a licensee for the longer of the following:
  - "(1) The minimum period of retention provided in subdivision (e).
- "(2) A period sufficient to satisfy professional standards and to comply with applicable laws and regulations.
- "(e) Audit documentation shall be maintained for a minimum of seven years which shall be extended during the pendency of any board investigation, disciplinary action, or legal action involving the licensee or the licensee's firm. The board may adopt regulations to establish a different retention period for specific categories of audit documentation where the board finds that the nature of the documentation warrants it.
- "(f) Licensees shall maintain a written documentation retention and destruction policy that shall set forth the licensee's practices and procedures complying with this article."

14. Section 5107 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board's Executive Officer may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct a Respondent found to have committed a violation or violations of this chapter to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Dishonesty, Fraud)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100, subdivision (c), for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent was dishonest and obtained money under false pretenses by accepting advance payments (retainer fees), as follows:

### a. Diabetes 123.com

On or about January 15, 2002, Respondent was retained to perform audit and/or compilation services and to prepare the corporate income tax returns for Diabetes 123.com for the year ended December 31, 2001. Respondent did not perform the agreed upon services, nor did she return the advance payment amount of \$4,500.00.

## b. <u>Accurate Metal Fabricators, Inc. (AMFI Project)</u>

On or about June 17, 2003, Respondent was retained to perform an audit of Accurate Metal Fabricators, Inc.'s 401(k) pension plan for the year ended December 31, 2002, in connection with the firm's annual reporting obligations under the ERISA Act. Respondent did not perform the audit, nor did she return the advance payment of \$2,125.00.

Further, Respondent was dishonest and disseminated a materially misleading audit report dated August 14, 2003, to Accurate Metal Fabricators, Inc. regarding their 401(k) plan for the year ending December 31, 2002, which indicated Respondent had completed the audit engagement. Respondent was unable to provide the Board with the supporting documentation for the audit of Accurate Metal Fabricators, Inc.'s 401(k) retirement plan for the year ended December 31, 2002.

#### c. <u>Cinema Libra Studio</u>

In or about April 2003, Respondent was retained to perform compilation services and to prepare the corporate income tax returns for Cinema Libra Studio for the years ended

111

L	
2	reasona
3	Profess
4	
5	DATE
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

2. Ordering Lynn R. Richard to pay the California Board of Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107;

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: February 15, 2005

CAROL SIGMANN Executive Officer

California Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant